IN VIVO EVALUATION OF THE EFFECT OF FIVE DISINTEGRANTS ON THE BIOAVAILABILITY OF FRUSEMIDE FROM 40MG TABLETS

Michael H. Rubinstein and Elaine J. Price, School of Pharmacy, Liverpool polytechnic, Liverpool L3 3AF, U.K.

The effect on the bioavailability of frusemide from tablets prepared with each of 5 tablet disintegrants has been assessed. Urinary excretion data were used and the bioavailability of each formulation was determined with reference to an oral frusemide solution using the methods of Oser & others (1945) and Niebergall & others (1975).

Tablets of frusemide 40mg were prepared containing approximately 10% w/w of the disintegrants, Explotab, Polyplasdone XL, Amberlite IRP 88, Maize starch B.P. and Elcema P100. The tablets were compacted at 150 MNm⁻² and dissolution rates measured in the B.P. apparatus using a variety of pH buffers. Bioavailability studies were carried out in 5 healthy men aged 18-31 years on a double blind basis. Urine was collected over a period of 24 hours and analysed by a modified method of Bratton & Marshall (1939). The in vivo and in vitro results are summarised in Table 1.

	Disintegrant				
	Explotab	Poly plasdone	Amberlite	Mai ze Starch	Elcema
Disintegration time(min)	3.8	1.06	7.8	10.35	120.0
Hardness scu	4.36	4.04	4.54	3.62	4.04
Dissolution rate					
T min 50% mu 6 F					
^{50%} pH 6.5	1.91	3.17	36.58	18.69	430.13
рН 5.0	2.56	6.34	8.42	15.33	122.21
pH 3.5	16.43	118.96	356.78	26.58	-
Biological half life	1.8-4.5	1.9-4.3	2.0-3.7	2.0-3.9	2.1-4.4
Mean bioavailability %					
Oser & others	68.68	61.49	58.22	41.40	32.64
Neibergall & others	68.44	63.55	57.38	40.21	33.96

Table 1. In vitro and in vivo data for frusemide formulations.

No quantative relation was found between disintegration time and dissolution rate or bioavailability. Maize starch and Elcema PlOO rendered the drug significantly less bioavailable than the other three disintegrants; the tablets containing Explotab gave the highest bioavailability. The biological half life, varied from subject to subject over the range 1.8-4.4h and was independent of formulation. If the $T_{50\%}$ value for the formulation containing Elcema was excluded, a good correlation, r = 0.998 was found between dissolution half life at pH 5.0 and bioavailability. However, if the value for $T_{50\%}$ for the Elcema containing tablets was included the correlation coefficient r fell to 0.791. Even poorer results were obtained for attempted correlations at different pH values; at a dissolution pH of 6.5, r = 0.753 and at pH 3.5, r = 0.071.

The results indicate that the choice of a disintegrant can significantly affect the bicavailability of the final product and that the pH of the dissolution medium must be carefully selected to obtain a good in vivo - in vitro correlation.

Niebergall, P.J. & others (1975). J.Pharm. Sci.,64, 1721-1724. Bratton, A.C. & Marshall, E.K. (1939). J. Biol. Chem., 128, 537-550 Oser, B.L. & others (1945). Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed., 17, 405-412.